Why Is Data Leaving the EU an Issue for US Businesses?

Doing Business in the European Union

US companies doing business in the EU face restrictions on the transfer of personal data out of the EU. While the US promotes the free flow of data and permits data transfers out of the US, the EU’s General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR)\(^1\) does not allow companies to move personal data out of the EU, with few exceptions.

What is the Privacy Shield?

One important exception is the US-EU Privacy Shield Framework, which is used by big and small companies to enable transatlantic data flows. The Framework was developed by the Department of Commerce (“the Department”) and European Commission and went into effect on July 12, 2016. The Framework undergoes Annual Reviews by the US and EU governments to assess the efficacy of the Privacy Shield program and to ensure effective implementation of the agreement by both the EU and US.

How Does the Privacy Shield Work?

US companies that wish to utilize the Privacy Shield do so on a voluntary basis. A company enrolls in the Privacy Shield program with the Department of Commerce and self-certifies and makes binding commitments about the handling of personal data. Once the company’s application has been reviewed and accepted, it can move data into and out of and the EU. The company’s application to the Privacy Shield undergoes annual reviews by the Department of Commerce and EU for the duration of its participation in the program.

Why Does the Privacy Shield Matter?

As of August 2018, over 3,400 US companies from nearly all 50 states are utilizing the Privacy Shield. These businesses are significantly contributing to the US’ nearly $1.1 trillion in total trade in goods and services with the EU.\(^2\)

It is important to note that over fifty percent of Privacy Shield participants are small- and medium-sized companies,\(^3\) meaning the benefits of the Privacy Shield go well beyond large, multinational corporations.

---

Challenges to the Privacy Shield

The Privacy Shield is facing criticism and challenges. Some policymakers in the EU are trying to upend this economic and job-creating pipeline. They argue that the US provides insufficient protection and remedies for personal data coming from the EU. They also contend that the US is not fully implementing the framework.

For example, the EU parliament recently passed a resolution arguing that the Privacy Shield should be suspended until the US fully implements the agreement. These issues will continue to elevate in the lead-up to the Privacy Shield annual review, which is scheduled for October 2018.

The EU Commission is emphasizing the importance of two non-controversial implementation issues for the 2018 annual review, which are related to nominations. First, the need for a quorum of members of the five-person Privacy and Civil Liberties Oversight Board (PCLOB), which analyzes Executive Branch actions and policies to protect the nation from terrorism and ensures that privacy and civil liberties are considered in their activities. Second, the need for a senior, political appointee to the position of Under Secretary for Economic Growth, Energy, and the Environment at the State Department. This role includes the responsibility of serving as of Privacy Shield Ombudsperson.

BSA Recommendations

1. BSA urges Congress to confirm the following PCLOB nominees prior to the October 2018 Privacy Shield Review: Adam Klein (Chairman of the PCLOB), Aditya Bamzai, Edward Felten, Travis LeBlanc, and Jane Nitze.

2. BSA urges the Administration to nominate a senior political appointee for the Under Secretary for Economic Growth, Energy, and the Environment at US State Department prior to the 2018 Privacy Shield review.


www.bsa.org